Cover

Q2 2016/17

| Health and Well Being Board | Stockton-on-Tees |
|---|--------------------------|
| | |
| completed by: | Sue Reay |
| E-Mail: | sue.reay@stockton.gov.uk |
| | 01642 527010 |
| Contact Number: | 01642 527018 |
| Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board: | Cllr Beall |

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

| | No. of questions answered |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1. Cover | 5 |
| 2. Budget Arrangements | 1 |
| 3. National Conditions | 36 |
| 4. I&E | 15 |
| 5. Supporting Metrics | 13 |
| 6. Additional Measures | 67 |
| 7. Narrative | 1 |

Budget Arrangements

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?

Yes

If it had not been previously stated that the funds had been pooled can you confirm that they have now?

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)

Footnotes:

Source: For the S.75 pooled budget question, which is pre-populated, the data is from a previous quarterly collection returned by the HWB.

National Conditions

| Selected Health and | Well Being Board: |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|---------------------|-------------------|

| Stockton-on-Tees | |
|------------------|--|
| | |

| he S | pending R | ound esta | blishe | d six nationa | I conditions t | for access to th | e Fund. |
|------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
|------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------|

Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these have been met, as per your final BCF plan.

Further details on the conditions are specified below.

if 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include an explanation as to why the condition was not met within this quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?

| | I | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | | | If the answer is "No" or | |
| | | | "No - In Progress" please | |
| | | | enter estimated date when | |
| | | Please Select ('Yes', | condition will be met if not | |
| | Q1 Submission | 'No' or 'No - In | already in place | If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being |
| Condition (please refer to the detailed definition below) | Response | Progress') | (DD/MM/YYYY) | addressed: |
| 1) Plans to be jointly agreed | | Yes | | |
| | Yes | | | |
| 2) Maintain provision of social care services | res | Yes | | |
| 2) Maintain provision of social care services | | res | | |
| | Yes | | | |
| 3) In respect of 7 Day Services - please confirm: | 163 | | | |
| i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to | | Yes | | |
| prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate | | | | |
| transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate | Yes | | | |
| ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental | | Yes | | |
| health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the | | | | |
| patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be | | | | |
| taken (Standard 9)? | Yes | | | |
| 4) In respect of Data Sharing - please confirm: | | | | |
| i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care | | Yes | | |
| services? | | | | |
| | Yes | | | |
| ii) Are you pursuing Open APIs (ie system that speak to each other)? | | Yes | | |
| | | | | |
| | Yes | ., | | |
| iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance? | | Yes | | |
| snaring in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance? | Yes | | | |
| iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, | res | Yes | | |
| who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights? | | res | | |
| who may have access and now they can exercise their legal rights: | Yes | | | |
| 5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where | Tes | Yes | | |
| funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable | | | | |
| professional | Yes | | | |
| 6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are | | Yes | | |
| predicted to be substantially affected by the plans | | | | |
| | Yes | | | |
| 7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services | | Yes | | |
| | | | | |
| | Yes | | | |
| 8) Agreement on a local target for Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC) and develop a | | Yes | | |
| joint local action plan | | | | |
| | Yes | | | |

National conditions - detailed definitions

The BCF policy framework for 2016-17 and BCF planning guidance sets out eight national conditions for access to the Fund:

1) Plans to be jointly agreed

The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Review, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and Wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissionin

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with health and social care providers as to how the Better Car will contribute to a longer term strategic plan. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service consequences. The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) will again be allocated through the Better Care Fund. Local housing authority representatives should therefore be involved in developing and agreeing the plan, in order to ensure a joined-up approach to improving outcomes across health, social care and housing.

2) Maintain provision of social care services

Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will continue to be supported within their plans in a manner consistent with 2015-16.

The definition of support should be agreed locally. As a minimum, it should maintain in real terms the level of protection as provided through the mandated minimum element of local Better Care Fund agreements of 2015-16. This reflects the real terms increase in the Better Care Fund.

In setting the level of protection for social care localities should be mindful to ensure that any change does not destabilise the local social and health care system as a whole. This will be assessed compared to 2015-16 figures through the regional assurance process.

It should also be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013-14:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

3) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective (physical and mental health) admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate.

Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services (throughout the week, including weekends) across community, primary, mental health, and social care in order:

- To prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions (physical and mental health) through provision of an agreed level of infrastructure across out of hospital services 7 days a week;
- To support the timely discharge of patients, from acute physical and mental health settings, on every day of the week, where it is clinically appropriate to do so, avoiding unnecessary delayed discharges of care. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why.

The 10 clinical standards developed by the NHS Services, Seven Days a Week Forum represent, as a whole, best practice for quality care on every day of the week and provide a useful reference for commissioners (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/clinical-standards1.pdf).

By 2020 all hospital in-patients admitted through urgent and emergency routes in England will have access to services which comply with at least 4 of these standards on every day of the week, namely Standards 2, 5, 6 and 8. For the Better Care Fund, particular consideration should be given to whether progress is being made again Standard 9. This standard highlights the role of support services in the provision of the next steps in a person's care pathway following admission to hospital, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, and the importance of effective relationships between medical and other health and social care teams.

4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number

The appropriate and lawful sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a consistent identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of informat also vital that the right cultures, behaviours and leadership are demonstrated locally, fostering a culture of secure, lawful and appropriate sharing of data to support better care.

Local areas should:

- confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the consistent identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
- confirm that they are pursuing interoperable Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) (i.e. systems that speak to each other) with the necessary security and controls (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/open-api-policy.pdf; and
- ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott principles and guidance made available by the Information Governance Alliance (IGA), and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.
- ensure that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights. In line with the recommendations from the National Data Guardian review.

The Information Governance Alliance (IGA) is a group of national health and care organisations (including the Department of Health, NHS England, Public Health England and the Health and Social Care Information Centre) working together to provide a joined up and consistent approach to information governance and provide access central repository guidance on data access issues for the health and care systems. See - http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/iga

5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and named care coordinator, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priority for better integrated his social care services, supported by care coordinators, for example dementia advisors.

6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans

The impact of local plans should be agreed with relevant health and social care providers. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. This should complement the planning guidance issued to NHS organisations.

There is agreement that there is much more to be done to ensure mental and physical health are considered equal and better integrated with one another, as well as with other services such as social care. Plans should therefore give due regard to this.

7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care

Local areas should agree how they will use their share of the £1 billion that had previously been used to create the payment for performance fund.

This should be achieved in one of the following ways:

- To fund NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care, as part of their agreed Better Care Fund plan; or
- Local areas can choose to put an appropriate proportion of their share of the £1bn into a local risk-sharing agreement as part of contingency planning in the event of excess activity, with the balance spent on NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care (local areas should seek, as a minimum, to maintain provision of NHS commissioned out of hospital services in a manner consistent with 15-16);

This condition replaces the Payment for Performance scheme included in the 2015-16 Better Care Fund framework.

8) Agreement on local action plan to reduce delayed transfers of care (DTOC)

Given the unacceptable high levels of DTOC currently, the Government is exploring what further action should be taken to address the issue.

As part of this work, under the Better Care Fund, each local area is to develop a local action plan for managing DTOC, including a locally agreed target.

All local areas need to establish their own stretching local DTOC target - agreed between the CCG, Local Authority and relevant acute and community trusts. This target should be reflected in CCG operational plans. The metric for the target should be the same as the national performance metric (average delayed transfers of care (didays) per 100,000 population (attributable to either NHS, social care or both) per month.

As part of this plan, we want local areas to consider the use of local risk sharing agreements with respect to DTOC, with clear reference to existing guidance and flexibilities. This will be particularly relevant in areas where levels of DTOC are high and rising.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with the relevant acute and community trusts and be able to demonstrate that the plan has been agreed with the providers given the need for close joint working on the DTOC issue.

We would expect plans to:

- Set out clear lines of responsibility, accountabilities, and measures of assurance and monitoring;
- Take account of national guidance, particularly the NHS High Impact Interventions for Urgent and Emergency Care, the NHS England Monthly Delayed Transfers of Care Situation Reports Definition and Guidance, and best practice with regards to reducing DTOC from LGA and ADASS;
- Demonstrate how activities across the whole patient pathway can support improved patient flow and DTOC performance, specifically around admissions avoidance;
- Demonstrate consideration to how all available community capacity within local geographies can be effectively utilised to support safe and effective discharge, with a shared approach to monitoring this capacity;
- Demonstrate how CCGs and Local Authorities are working collaboratively to support sustainable local provider markets, build the right capacity for the needs of the local population, and support the health and care workforce ideally through joint commissioning and workforce strategies;
- \bullet Demonstrate engagement with the independent and voluntary sector providers.

ıg Groups.

e Fund change

st

ion. It is

s to a



elayed

Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the yearend figures should equal the total pooled fund) Selected Health and Well Being Board: Stockton-on-Tees Previously returned data: Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Annual Total Pooled Fund £14,534,503 Plan £4,068,889 £3,022,282 £2,822,282 £4,621,051 £14,534,503 Please provide, plan, forecast, and actual of total income into Forecast £4,068,889 £3,022,282 £2,822,282 £4,621,051 £14,534,503 the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should egual the total pooled fund) Actual* £4.068.889 Q2 2016/17 Amended Data: Q1 2016/17 Q2 2016/17 Q3 2016/17 Q4 2016/17 Annual Total Pooled Fund £3,022,282 £2,822,282 £4,621,051

| the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should | | | | | |
|--|---------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| equal the total pooled fund) | Actual* | £4,068,889 | £3,124,615 | | |
| | | | | | |
| and the same of th | | | | | |
| Please comment if one of the following applies: | | | | | |
| - There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and | | | | | |
| the pooled fund | | | | | |
| - The Q2 actual differs from the Q2 plan and / or Q2 forecast | No difference | 2 | | | |

£3.124.615

£2.924.615

£4.416.384

£4.068.889

£14,534,503

Forecast

Expenditure

Previously returned data:

Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total income into

| | | Q1 2016/17 | Q2 2016/17 | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Annual Total | Pooled Fund |
|---|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Plan | £3,133,934 | £3,333,934 | £3,133,934 | £4,932,703 | £14,534,503 | £14,534,607 |
| se provide, plan, forecast, and actual of total income into und for each quarter to year end (the year figures should | Forecast | £3,133,934 | £3,333,934 | £3,133,934 | £4,932,703 | £14,534,503 | |
| I the total pooled fund) | Actual* | £3,133,934 | | | | | |

| Q2 2016/17 Amended Data: | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Q1 2016/17 | Q2 2016/17 | Q3 2016/17 | Q4 2016/17 | Annual Total | Pooled Fund |
| | Plan | £3,133,934 | £3,333,934 | £3,133,934 | £4,932,703 | £14,534,503 | £14,534,607 |
| | riaii | 13,133,334 | 13,333,334 | 13,133,334 | 14,332,703 | 114,334,303 | 114,334,007 |
| Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure | | 62.422.624 | 62 426 267 | 62 226 267 | 64 700 005 | 644 504 500 | |

Forecast £4,728,035 from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund) Actual* £3,133,934 £3,436,267

Please comment if one of the following applies: There is a difference between the forecasted annual total and the pooled fund - The Q2 actual differs from the Q2 plan and / or Q2 forecast

| Commentary on progress against financial plan: | Progress is inline with plan |
|--|------------------------------|

Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a quarterly collection previously filled in by the HWB. Pre-populated Plan, Forecast and Q1 Actual figures are sourced from the Q1 16/17 return previously submitted by the HWB.

^{*}Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards.

National and locally defined metrics

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees

| Non-Elective Admissions | Reduction in non-elective admissions |
|--|---|
| | |
| Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? | No improvement in performance |
| | There has been a continuous increase in the Non-Elective admissions. When comparing YTD positions for 15/16 to 16/17 there has been a 9.6% increase. The increase is across all of the age bands but the highest increase is in the |
| | over 65's (12.6%). |
| Commentary on progress: | The highest increase is in Ambulatory Care showing a 37% increase when comparing the six month period with |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Delayed Transfers of Care | Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+) |
| Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? | No improvement in performance |
| | During Q2 2016/17 in Stockton LA there were 675 delayed days (all transfers of care, not specifically related to |
| | care homes). Over the quarter, 346 delayed days were reported as being the responsibility of the NHS, 244 days |
| Co | were reported as the responsibility of social care and 85 days were the responsibility of both NHS and social care. |
| Commentary on progress: | The main reasons for delays were completion of assessment, waiting further NHS non-acute care, awaiting |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan | Estimated diagnosis rate for people with Dementia (NHS Outcomes Framework 2.6.i) |
| | |
| Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? | On track to meet target |
| | |
| | |
| Commentary on progress: | N/A |
| | |
| | |
| | Aggregate of 3 Measures (percentage): |
| | Measure 1 - ASCOF 3A: Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support. |
| | Measure 2 - ASCOF 3B: Overall satisfaction of carers with social services. |
| Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan | Measure 3 - Percentage of patients responding 'very good' or 'fairly good' out of the total patients responding to |
| If no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the local defined patient experience metric now being used. | |
| patient experience metric now being used. | |
| Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? | Data not available to assess progress |
| | |
| | |
| Commentary on progress: | N/A |
| Commentary on progress. | N/A |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Admissions to residential care | Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+) |
| Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric? | On track to meet target |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| Commentary on progress: | N/A |

Additional Measures

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees

Improving Data Sharing: (Measures 1-3)

1. Proposed Measure: Use of NHS number as primary identifier across care settings

| | GP | Hospital | Social Care | Community | Mental health | Specialised palliative |
|--|-----|----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|
| NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant | | | | | | |
| correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an | | | | | | |
| individual | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | | | | | | |
| Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's | | | | | | |
| care from their local system using the NHS Number | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

2. Proposed Measure: Availability of Open APIs across care settings

Please indicate across which settings relevant service-user information is currently being shared digitally (via Open APIs or interim solutions)

| | To GP | To Hospital | To Social Care | To Community | To Mental health | To Specialised palliative |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | Not currently shared | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | |
| From GP | solution | digitally | digitally | solution | solution | Shared via interim solution |
| | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | |
| From Hospital | solution | solution | digitally | solution | solution | Shared via interim solution |
| | Not currently shared |
| From Social Care | digitally | digitally | digitally | digitally | digitally | digitally |
| | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | |
| From Community | solution | solution | digitally | solution | digitally | Shared via interim solution |
| | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | Not currently shared | Shared via interim | |
| From Mental Health | solution | solution | digitally | digitally | solution | Shared via interim solution |
| | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | Not currently shared | Shared via interim | Shared via interim | Not currently shared |
| From Specialised Palliative | solution | solution | digitally | solution | solution | digitally |

In each of the following settings, please indicate progress towards instillation of Open APIs to enable information to be shared with other organisations

| | GP | Hospital | Social Care | Community | Mental health | Specialised palliative |
|-------------------------------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Progress status | Live | In development |
| Projected 'go-live' date (dd/mm/yy) | | 01/04/17 | 01/04/17 | 01/04/17 | 01/04/17 | 01/04/17 |

3. Proposed Measure: Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway?

| Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your | Pilot commissioned and |
|--|------------------------|
| Health and Wellbeing Board area? | planning in progress |

Other Measures: Measures (4-5)

4. Proposed Measure: Number of Personal Health Budgets per 100,000 population

| Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter | 31 |
|--|---------|
| Rate per 100,000 population | 15.8 |
| | |
| Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter | 2 |
| Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter | 1 |
| Of all residents using PHBs at the end of the quarter, what proportion are | |
| in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%) | 48% |
| | |
| Population (Mid 2016) | 195,952 |

5. Proposed Measure: Use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams

| | Yes - in some parts of |
|---|------------------------|
| Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social | Health and Wellbeing |
| care staff) in place and operating in the non-acute setting? | Board area |
| | Yes - in some parts of |
| Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social | Health and Wellbeing |
| care staff) in place and operating in the acute setting? | Board area |

Footnotes:

Population projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016). http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1 Population figures were updated to the mid-year 2016 estimates as we moved into the new calendar year.

Narrative

Selected Health and Well Being Board:

Stockton-on-Tees

Remaining Characters

31,427

Please provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q2 16/17. A recommendation would be to offer a narrative around the stocktake themes as below:

Highlights and successes

What would you consider to be your most significant area of success, or development since the last quarter? What has contributed to this improvement?

Challenges and concerns

Does the information on National Conditions and Supporting metrics point to any issues or areas of improvement? Are there any new anticipated challenges for the coming quarter?

Potential actions and support

What actions could be taken and what support could be offered to address performance challenges and capitalise on successes for subsequent quarters?

Successes:

- We have implemented the Medical Interoperability Gateway across all primary care
- We have implemented access to community and GP data for ECTT
- We have started to look at all the pathways associated with person-centred care in the community and will be working with NESTA as part of the national IPC programme to develop services further and link the 'conversations' to personal budgets
- We have commissioned an integrated training programme for Care Homes we have identified a gap through the NEL data
- We continue to commission dementia related services and have recently supported a local community group which it is anticipated will be self-sufficient in 12 months time. This is a group which provides support to people with dementia and provides much needed respite to carers.

 Challenges:
- we are continuing to develop our local performance management framework
- we now have an action plan for Discharge to Assess but want to ensure that we are building on existing best practice
- as above, we are working with NESTA as part of the national programme and we will look at a number of pathways and a couple of pilot areas
- developing our thinking with regards to Local Area Co-ordinator
- engaging with the Better Health Programme for greater integration of ICT solutions and information sharing